



Sh Jasbir Singh,
Guru Nanak Nagar,
Village Bolapur Jhabewal,
P.O Ramgarh, Distt Ludhiana.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Principal Secretary,
Social Justice, Empowerment and Minority Deptt,
Pb, Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Principal Secretary,
Social Justice, Empowerment and Minority Deptt,
Pb, Chandigarh.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 1337 of 2021

PRESENT: Sh.Jasbir Singh as the Appellant
Sh.Gagandeep , Data Entry Operator O/o Director SJEM for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through RTI application dated 14.10.2020 has sought information regarding action taken on the enquiry report of enquiry officer relating to advertisement dated 27.08.2020 published in Dainik Jagran relating to 63.91 crore scam in post-matric scholarship along with enquiry report and other information as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of Principal Secretary Social Justice, Empowerment and Minority Department, Pb Chandigarh which transferred the application to Director Social Justice, Empowerment and Minority Department, Pb Chandigarh. The appellant was not provided with the information after which the appellant filed the first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 16.11.2020 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Ludhiana. As per the appellant, the PIO has not supplied the information. As per the respondent, the matter is under consideration with the State Govt and the reply has been sent to the appellant with a copy to the Commission.

Having gone through the RTI application and the reply of the PIO, the Commission finds that the sought information is still pending an enquiry. The appellant has not been able to establish a larger public interest, except public curiosity for the commission to order premature disclosure of a matter about which an enquiry is still pending.

No further course of action is required. The case is **disposed of and closed**.

A copy of the reply of the PIO is being sent to the appellant along with the order.

Chandigarh
Dated:29.07.2021

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner



Sh Tejinder Singh,
Civil Court,
Tehsil Complex, Backside Sanjh Kender,
Phillaur.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Joint Director,
Food & Civil Supplies, Pb, Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Principal Secretary,
Food & Civil Supplies, Pb, Chandigarh.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 1244 of 2021

PRESENT: Sh.Tejinder Singh as the Appellant
Sh.Anil Duggal, Suptd. for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through RTI application dated 26.11.2020 has sought information regarding action taken on the complaint dated 15.10.2020 filed for taking action against rehriwalas selling chicken and misusing domestic gas cylinders on Jamalpur Chowk near Metro Road Focal Point Ludhiana and other information as enumerated in the RTI application concerning the office of Joint Director Food & Civil Supplies Pb Chandigarh. The appellant was not provided with the information after which the appellant filed the first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 26.12.2020, which took no decision on the appeal.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Ludhiana. As per the respondent, since no complaint was received from the appellant no information could be provided on the said RTI application. As per the respondent, a reply to the RTI application had been sent to the appellant vide letters dated 06.01.2021, 22.01.2021 and 09.06.2021, with a copy to the Commission.

The appellant claims that he had supplied a fresh copy of the complaint to the department but no such reply was received by him.

A copy of the reply received from the PIO is being sent to the appellant along with the order.

Having gone through the RTI application and the reply of the PIO, the Commission finds that the RTI application has been sufficiently replied to.

No further course of action is required. The case is **disposed of and closed**.

Chandigarh
Dated:29.07.2021

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner



Sh Tejinder Singh,
Civil Court,
Tehsil Complex, Backside Sanjh Kender,
Phillaur.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Director,
Health & Family Welfare Deptt,
Pb, Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Principal Secretary,
Health & Family Welfare,
Pb, Chandigarh.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 1241 of 2021 and 1242/2021

PRESENT: Sh.Tejinder Singh as the Appellant
Dr.Manu, SMO C/o Civil Surgeon Ludhiana

ORDER:

The appellant through RTI application dated 26.11.2020 has sought information regarding action taken against unauthorized (without a degree) doctors on the order of the Lokpal dated 20.10.2020 in case No.173 of 2020 and other information as enumerated in the RTI application concerning the office of Director, Health and Family Welfare Department, Pb Chandigarh. The appellant was not provided with the information after which the appellant filed the first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 28.12.2020, which took no decision on the appeal.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Ludhiana. As per the appellant, the PIO has not provided the information.

As per the respondent, the action is yet to be taken for which a letter has already been issued to all SMOs.

The Commission has received a copy of the letter dated 23.07.2021 from the PIO vide which the PIO has sent a reply to the appellant.

The PIO is directed to provide whatever action has been taken along with noting/correspondence for the same.

The Commission observes that the appellant has also filed a similar appeal case No.1241/2021 seeking exactly the same information from the office of Civil Surgeon Ludhiana. Both the cases are clubbed together.

The information be provided within 10 days with a copy to the Commission.

With the above order, the case is **disposed of and closed**. A copy of the letter dated 23.07.2021 received from the PIO is attached with the order.

Chandigarh
Dated:29.07.2021

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner



Sh Sushil Kumar,
H NO-1410, Phase-1,
Urban Estate, Dugri Road,
Ludhiana.

Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Director/Chemical Examiner,
Kharar, Distt Mohali.

...Respondent

Complaint Case No. 282 of 2021

PRESENT: Sh.Sushil Kumar as the Appellant
Ms.Sunita –APIO for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through the RTI application dated 25.01.2021 has sought information about a letter dated 03.06.2019 from constable Sushma Rani - detailed report for a letter dated 03.06.2019 (report No.3847 dt.01.08.2019 having MLR No.NB/MLR/21/19 of 31.05.2019 for FIR No.76 dated 31.05.2019 – a copy of DNA report done from Veginal which was received on 03.06.2019 for MLR 21/19 – DNA report done for a blood sample taken from Sushil Kumar on 11.04.2020 and other information as enumerated in the RTI application concerning the office of Director, Chemical Examiner, Kharar. The appellant was not satisfied with the information provided by the PIO vide letter dated 10.02.2021 after which the appellant filed the first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 04.03.2021, which took no decision on the appeal.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Ludhiana. The respondent present pleaded that the available information has already been provided to the appellant vide letter dated 10.02.2021 and 16.03.2021 and no further information is available in the record. The PIO has also given a self-declaration that available information has been provided and no further information is available in the record.

As per the appellant, the information is still incomplete.

Since it is a complaint case and the appellant has come to the Commission without going to the First Appellate Authority, I am remanding this case back to the First Appellate Authority-cum-Chief Chemical Examiner with a direction to consider this as an appeal case and dispose of the same within a period of 30 days.

With the above order, the case is **disposed of and closed**.

Chandigarh
Dated:29.07.2021

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner

CC to:First Appellate Authority-cum-
Chief Chemical Examiner,Kharar
District Mohali.

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864114, Email: - psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh Tejinder Singh,
Civil Court,
Tehsil Complex, Backside Sanjh Kender,
Phillaur.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Food Safety Officer,
Amritsar.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o District Health Officer,
Amritsar.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 1141 of 2021

PRESENT: Sh.Tejinder Singh as the Appellant
None for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through an RTI application dated 03.07.2019 has sought information regarding a copy of a record relating to registration of Jail canteens – samples collected for Jain canteens from 2010 to 1.07.2019 along with improvement notices issued – details of routine food checking along with samples collected – details of canteens in schools/colleges registered from 2010 to 01.07.2019 and other information as enumerated in the RTI application concerning the office of Food Safety Officer, Amritsar. The appellant was not provided with the information after which the appellant filed the first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 06.06.2020 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Ludhiana. As per the appellant, the PIO has not provided the information.

The respondent is absent.

Having gone through the record, the Commission observes that the RTI application was filed on 03.07.2019 whereas the first appeal was filed on 06.06.2020 and the 2nd appeal on 02.03.2021 which indicates that this has become time-barred u/s 19(3) of the RTI Act. Section 19(3) reproduced below:

‘A second appeal against the decision under sub-section (1) shall lie within ninety days from the date on which the decision should have been made or was actually received, with the Central Information Commission or the State Information Commission: Provided that the Central Information Commission or the State Information Commission, as the case may be, may admit the appeal after the expiry of the period of ninety days if it is satisfied that the appellant was prevented by sufficient cause from filing the appeal in time.’

In this case, no sufficient ground has been put on record to show why the delay in filing the appeal case. Hence, not admitted.

The case is **disposed of and closed**.

Chandigarh
Dated:29.07.2021

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner